

United States Patent and Trademark Office

lh

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/717,579 | 11/21/2003 | Yuji Sezai | 110537.01 | 8556 | |
| 25944 7 | 11/30/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC | | | NGUYEN, TUYEN T | | |
| | P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 2832 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005 | DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------|--|--|
| Office Action Occurre | 10/717,579 | SEZAI ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | TUYEN T. NGUYEN | 2832 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | J. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Sec</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. | action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro | | e merits is | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,9 and 10 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceedable and any objection to the objected to any objection to the objection to th | vn from consideration. relection requirement. repted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cf | , , | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | nte | D-152) | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue [US 6,423,243].

Inoue discloses a communication transformer having a magnetic core structure, wherein the magnetic core structure comprising 50-56 mol% Fe₂O₃, 20-26 mol% ZnO and 21-27 mol% of MnO.

The taught ranges overlap the claimed ranges. Product claims with numerical ranges which overlap prior art ranges were held to have been obvious under 35 USC 103, *In re Wertheim* 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Malagari* 182 USPQ 549 (CCPA 1974); *In re Fields* 134 USPQ 242 (CCPA 1962); *In re Nehrenberg* 126 USPQ 383 (CCPA 1960). Since the taught composition overlaps the claimed compositions, one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the taught composition to inherently have a total harmonic distortion at 5 kHz which overlaps the claimed range, absent any showing to the contrary. The reference suggests the claimed cores and compositions.

The specific use of the communiation transformer in an xDSL circuit would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended applications/environment.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,579

Art Unit: 2832

Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue in view of Matsumura [JP 55-113308].

Inoue disclose a communication transformer having a magnetic core structure.

The specific use of the communication transformer in an xDSL circuit would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended applications/environment.

Inoue discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific of the core structure.

Matsumura discloses a magnetic core structure for an induction device having two core elements [A, B], each core element comprising:

- bottom plate [1];
- a central leg [3];
- an outer leg [2] rising from the bottom plate and surrounding at least two side of the central leg in the first direction separated by a predetermined space;
 - gaps [G1, G2] formed between the central legs and the outer legs of the core elements.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the core design of Matsumura in the core structure of Hisano for the purpose of controlling the magnetic flux.

The specific height/space/length of the gaps would have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of adjusting the magnetic flux.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 9-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,579

Art Unit: 2832

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,579 Page 5

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN 111

Tuyla Nguyen